| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------|------------------|
| | 09/751,160 | EOGA, ANTHONY B. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Joseph D. Anthony | 1714 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: | | |
| (1) <u>Joseph D. Anthony</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Steven B. Stein</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 7 June 2004 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| all | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Oce Auther 6/26/04 | | |
| (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Stein to inform him that the amendment filed 04/19/04 was again a non-compliant amendment because the text of canceled claims 2 and 7 should not be present. Mr Stein agreed to filed another admentment which he did on 06/07/04 that was subsequently entered into the file.